



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/589,253	06/07/2000	Kerimcan Engin	3212/3	8672
48490	7590	05/23/2006		
MICHAEL K. LINDSEY GAVRILOVICH, DODD & LINDSEY, LLP 3303 N. SHOWDOWN PL. TUCSON, AZ 85749				EXAMINER SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY
				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3624

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/589,253	ENGIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Narayanswamy Subramanian	3624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 11-17, 19, 20 and 25-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 11-17, 19, 20 and 25-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to applicants' communication filed on May 31, 2005 and February 21, 2006. Amendments to claims 11 and 15 and addition of new claims 29-32 have been entered. Affidavits filed by the applicant under 37 CFR 1.132 in response to requirement for information have been entered. Claims 11-17, 19, 20 and 25-32 are currently pending in the application and have been examined. The rejections and response to arguments are stated below.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 11-17, 19, 20 and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claim 11 recites the limitations "means for allowing an investor to subscribe to the investment strategies", "means for permitting the investor to enter, by way of the computer network, one or more investor-defined management weights" and "means for allowing the investor to accept, reject, or modify each of the trade recommendations of the weighted portfolio of trade recommendations through the computer network to produce a sequence of customized trade recommendations". It is not clear as to what the outcomes of these limitations are.

Specifically the metes and bounds of the limitations "allowing" and "permitting" are not clear. For instance "means for allowing an investor to subscribe to the investment strategies" is not a positive limitation because it does not require an investor to subscribe to the investment strategies but only requires the ability to do so. Similarly independent claim 15 recites the

limitations “permitting”, “providing access”, “allowing” which are not positive limitations because it does not require performance of these functions but only the ability to do so. Hence the metes and bounds of these limitations are not clear rendering the claims indeterminate. Claims 11-14, 16, 17, 19, 20 and 25-32 are rejected by way of dependence. Appropriate clarification/correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 11-17, 19, 20 and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray et al (US Patent 6,018,722) in view of Beaulieu et al GJS Patent 5,502,637) and further in view of Luskin et al (US Patent 5,812,987)

Claims 11, 25 and 26, Ray teaches a system for providing investment advice to investors over a computer network, comprising means for permitting the investor to enter one or more investor trade recommendations based on an investor-defined strategy and means for submitting trade recommendations to a brokerage account for execution (See Ray Claims 1 and 5) The trade type and price type are inherent in the disclosure of Ray.

Ray does not explicitly teach the means for receiving a plurality of investment strategies from a plurality of investment advisors; the means for allowing an investor to subscribe to at least one of the investment strategies; means for permitting the investor to enter, by way of the

Art Unit: 3624

computer network, one or more investor-defined management weights; means for allowing the investor to accept, reject, or modify each of the trade recommendations of the weighted portfolio of trade recommendations through the computer network to produce a sequence of customized trade recommendations; and means for permitting the investor to enter, by way of the computer network, one or more investor trades based on an investor-defined strategy, each of the investor trades specifying a financial instrument and terms necessary for trading the financial instrument in a respective financial market. However as discussed in the 112, second paragraph rejection above “the means for allowing an investor”, “means for permitting the investor”, “means for allowing the investor” to perform certain functions is interpreted as intended use claim limitations that may not distinguish over prior art. For instance “means for allowing an investor to subscribe to the investment strategies” is not a positive limitation because it does not require an investor to subscribe to the investment strategies but only requires the ability to do so.

Beaulieu teaches the means for receiving a plurality of investment research from a plurality of investment advisors (See Beaulieu Column 3 lines 24-27) and the means for allowing an investor to subscribe to at least one of the investment strategies (See Beaulieu Column 3 lines 30-34). The investment research is interpreted to include investment strategies including a plurality of trade recommendations and the entitlement lists imply investor subscribing to at least one of the investment strategies.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the current invention to combine the steps taught by Beaulieu to the invention of Ray. The combination of the disclosures taken as a whole, suggests that investors would have benefited from having the option of choosing from several investment strategies in one shot without having to subscribe to

each of them separately thereby saving time and expenses. The investment advisors would benefit from the fact that only authorized subscribers are getting their recommendations.

Ray fails to explicitly teach trade recommendations that specifies a financial instrument and terms necessary for trading the financial instrument in a respective financial market; means for applying investor-defined management weights to the investment strategies to generate and deliver a weighted portfolio of trade recommendations the management weights defining the percentage of investor portfolio funds available for investing in the subscribed investment strategies and means for the investor to accept, reject, or modify each of the trade recommendations of the at least one investment strategy to produce a sequence of customized trade recommendations.

Luskin teaches the means for applying investor-defined management weights to investment strategies to generate and deliver a weighted portfolio of trade recommendations the management weights defining the percentage of investor portfolio funds available for investing in the investment strategies (See Luskin Abstract, Figures 6-9, Column 7 line 65 - Column 8 line 19, Column 11 line 11 - Column 12 line 12). The strategic and tactical strategies are the investment strategies that are weighted and the step of purchasing or disposing assets includes the step of specifying a financial instrument and terms necessary for trading the financial instrument in a respective financial market. For instance bonds are traded in the bond market and futures in the Futures market. Luskin also teaches the steps of customizing the portfolio based on trade recommendations and tailor the portfolio based on investor-specified attributes (See Luskin Column 4 lines 11-25). The steps of customizing the portfolio based on trade recommendations and tailor the portfolio based on investor specified attributes are interpreted to include allowing

Art Unit: 3624

the investor to accept, reject or modify each of the trade recommendations of the weighted portfolio of trade recommendations to produce a sequence of customized trade recommendations and permitting the investor to enter one or more investor trade recommendations based on an investor-defined strategy.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the current invention to combine these steps to the disclosure of Ray. The combination of the disclosures taken as a whole, suggests that investors would have further benefited from being able to update the portfolio based on changing economic outlook, which in turn affects the recommendations.

Claim 12, Ray teaches a system of claim 11, wherein the at least one of the advisory strategies includes investment preferences and other information (See Ray Column 5 lines 1-29) Investment preferences and other information are interpreted to include at least one parameter selected from the group consisting of an initial investment amount, a recommended minimum investment, a tradable financial instrument, a set of allowed position types, and restrictions on cash transactions.

Claim 13, Ray teaches a system of claim 11, further comprising means for executing a plurality of trade transactions based on the trade recommendations (See Ray Claim 1 and Column 10 lines 13-16).

Claim 14, Ray teaches a system of claim 11, further comprising means for updating the advisor strategies at predetermined intervals (See Ray Column 9 lines 1-8). Once daily is interpreted to include predetermined intervals.

Claim 15, 27 and 28, Ray teaches a method of providing investment advice to an investor, comprising assigning a risk/return measure to each of investment strategies, providing a

search utility that permits the investor to select at least one of the investment strategies based on a risk measure, and maintaining a portfolio for the investor, the portfolio defining a plurality of customized trade recommendations. (See Ray Claims 1 and 5). The trade type and price type are inherent in the disclosure of Ray.

Ray does not explicitly teach the steps of receiving a plurality of investment strategies from a plurality of investment advisors; using a strategy client database to maintain a subscription to one or more of the investment strategies for the investor; permitting the investor to enter, by way of the computer network, one or more investor-defined management weights; providing investor access, via the computer network, to search utility for allowing the investor to select at least one of the weighted investment strategies based on a risk measure; and through the computer network, allowing the investor to accept, reject, or modify each of the trade recommendations of the weighted portfolio of trade recommendations to produce a sequence of customized trade recommendations; permitting the investor to enter, by way of the computer network, one or more investor trades based on an investor-defined strategy, each of the investor trades specifying a financial instrument and terms necessary for trading the financial instrument in a respective financial market. However as discussed in the 112, second paragraph rejection above “permitting the investor to enter”, “providing investor access”, “allowing the investor” to perform certain functions are interpreted as intended use claim limitations that may not distinguish over prior art. For instance “permitting the investor to enter, by way of the computer network, one or more investor-defined management weights” is not a positive limitation because it does not require an investor to enter investor-defined management weights but only requires the ability to do so.

Beaulieu teaches the steps of receiving a plurality of investment reports from a plurality of investment advisors (See Beaulieu Column 3 lines 24-27) and using a strategy client database to maintain a subscription to one or more of the investment strategies for the investor (See Beaulieu Column 3 lines 30-34). The investment research is interpreted to include investment strategies including a plurality of trade recommendations and the entitlement list imply a strategy client database to maintain a subscription to one or more of the investment strategies for the investor.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the current invention to combine the steps taught by Beaulieu to the invention of Ray. The combination of the disclosures taken ms a whole, suggests that investors would have benefited from having the option of choosing from several investment strategies in one shot without having to subscribe to each of them separately thereby saving time and expenses. The investment advisors would benefit from the fact that only authorized subscribers are getting their recommendations.

Ray fails to explicitly teach trade recommendations that specifies a financial instrument and terms necessary for trading the instrument in a respective financial market; applying investor-defined management weights to the investment strategies to generate and deliver a weighted portfolio of trade recommendations the management weights defining the percentage of investor portfolio funds available for investing in the subscribed investment strategies; customize the portfolio based on trade recommendations and tailor the portfolio based on investor-specified attributes.

Luskin teaches the means for applying investor-defined management weights to investment strategies to generate and deliver a weighted portfolio of trade recommendations the

management weights defining the percentage of investor portfolio funds available for investing in the investment strategies (See Luskin Abstract, Figures 6-9, Column 7 line 65 - Column 8 line 19, Column 11 line 11 - Column 12 line 12). The strategic and tactical strategies are the investment strategies that are weighted and the step of purchasing or disposing assets includes the step of specifying a financial instrument and terms necessary for trading the financial instrument in a respective financial market. For instance bonds are traded in the bond market and futures in the Futures market. Luskin also teaches the steps of customizing the portfolio based on trade recommendations and tailor the portfolio based on investor-specified attributes (See Luskin Column 4 lines 1 1-25). The steps of customizing the portfolio based on trade recommendations and tailor the portfolio based on investor-specified attributes are interpreted to include allowing the investor to accept, reject or modify each of the trade recommendations of the weighted portfolio of trade recommendations to produce a sequence of customized trade recommendations and permitting the investor to enter one or more investor trade recommendations based on an investor-defined strategy.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the current invention to combine these steps to the disclosure of Ray. The combination of the disclosures taken as a whole, suggests that investors would have further benefited from being able to update the portfolio based on changing economic outlook, which in turn affects the recommendations.

Claim 16, Ray teaches a method of claim 15, further comprising providing the plurality of trade recommendations to a brokerage account (See Ray Column 9 line 65 - Column 10 line 16).

Claim 17, Ray teaches a method of claim 16, further comprising executing a plurality or trades based on the trade recommendations. (See Ray Column 10 lines 13-16).

Claim 19, Ray teaches a method of claim 15, further comprising updating the strategy trade recommendations at predetermined intervals (See Ray Column 9 lines 1-8). Once daily is interpreted to include predetermined intervals.

Claim 20, Ray teaches a method of claim 15, further comprising synchronizing information contained in the portfolio with a brokerage account (See Ray Claim 9).

Claims 29-32 Ray does not explicitly teach the steps of providing an interface, by way of the computer network, for allowing the investor to compare historical or projected returns of the investment strategies; providing an interface, by way of the computer network, for allowing the investor to select one or more of the investment strategies based on the comparison of historical or projected returns; and providing an interface, by way of the computer network, for allowing the investor to subscribe to the selected investment strategies; and providing an interface, by way of the computer network, for allowing the investment advisors to define and store initial parameters for each of the investment strategies, wherein the initial parameters include an initial investment amount, a recommended minimum investment amount, a tradable financial instrument set and allowed position types. However as discussed above the limitations above “providing an interface”, “allowing the investor”, to perform certain functions are interpreted as intended use claim limitations that may not distinguish over prior art. For instance “providing an interface, by way of the computer network, for allowing the investor to compare historical or projected returns of the investment strategies” is not a positive limitation because it does not

require an investor to compare historical or projected returns of the investment strategies but only requires the ability to do so.

***Response to Arguments***

7. In response to applicant's arguments that the cited prior art does not teach certain features like "permit investors to selectively subscribe to various advisor strategies", "no facility for allowing investors to weight advisor strategies or selectively alter individual trade recommendations", the examiner would like to point out that these features are not positively recited and claimed. Limitations like "allowing", "permitting" and "providing" are interpreted as intended use limitations are not distinguishable over the cited prior art.

Applicant's other arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

**Conclusion**

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is (571) 272-6751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (571) 272-6747. The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft to the Patent Office is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PMR

system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Dr. N. Subramanian  
May 15, 2006